MR. GREENEBAUM: We're talking a lot about conclu-1 2 sions and in the end you have to draw --3 JUDGE SIPPEL: I know. 4 MR. GREENEBAUM: -- conclusions. I don't believe 5 the evidence should be in or out on what counsel concludes it And different people could view this evidence in fairly says. 7 different ways. And I think at this point we should have the benefit of the inference, or at least on cross-examination, to 9 put it into the record. And I, I don't want to burden the 10 I'm prepared to put in -- I -- want to improve the I'm prepared to put in from page 76 through page 81, 11 record. 12 the same as if he testified to it here today, and put in the 13 tabs, and then I'm happy. 14 MS. SCHMELTZER: But, Your Honor, that -- if --15 those pages would not make any sense without the actual notes 16 which you've rejected. 17 MR. GREENEBAUM: I, I said put in the tabs. I've 18 asked the Court to reconsider --19 JUDGE SIPPEL: He's asked me to reconsider. 20 MS. SCHMELTZER: And I thought there was not going 21 to be -- we were not going to be engaged in reconsideration? 22 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I, I did it -- may be --23 MR. GREENEBAUM: I made the point at the time, 24 because I thought Your Honor was ruling without having the --25 JUDGE SIPPEL: I --

1	MR. GREENEBAUM: benefit of this.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: I hear it. That's true. It is true.
3	I am going to continue, however, to, to reject this evidence
4	on the basis of Rule 403. And I think that at a minimum the
5	inference can be drawn equally with respect to your point, Mr.
6	Greenebaum, and with respect to the point that Ms. Schmeltzer
7	makes, and that is that, as I initially view this, that
8	anybody that can control the doling out of money on behalf of
9	the corporation is pretty closely identified with the
10	corporation in terms of, of controlling it, and I don't think
11	that that's going to I don't think that's going to advance
12	this issue.
13	MR. GREENEBAUM: Well
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: It's going to confuse more than it's
15	going to help, and I'm going to have to make those kinds of
16	distinctions
17	MR. GREENEBAUM: I'm not in the habit of arguing
18	after the Court's ruled.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: Good.
20	MR. GREENEBAUM: I just want to make the points
21	that, that in these pages I've given to you it's clear that
22	other employees who were not owners took out loans.
23	JUDGE SIPPEL: I understand that. But they didn't
24	get loan from themselves, they got loans because these people
25	sat around and decided they would get the money.

```
MR. GREENEBAUM: That's true.
 1
              JUDGE SIPPEL: And that -- well, that's a big -- to
   me, I mean, that, that impresses me considerably, and I, I,
 3
    I'm -- I say, in fairness to the record -- well, it's -- as I
 4
    say, it's a, it's a Rule 403 ruling. So, it's as simple as
 5
    that.
 6
              MR. GREENEBAUM: And if we could --
 7
              JUDGE SIPPEL: It's excluded.
 8
              MR. GREENEBAUM: -- proffer -- Your Honor.
 9
              JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, you've made your -- I think
10
    you've made your proffer. You, you want to put the proffer in
11
    as I suggested? I mean, with --
12
              MR. GREENEBAUM: I don't think it's --
13
              JUDGE SIPPEL: -- those --
14
              MR. GREENEBAUM: I don't think I need to.
                                                         If Your
15
16
    Honor wants --
              JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I don't think so.
17
              MR. GREENEBAUM: -- me to, I will.
18
              JUDGE SIPPEL: No. I'm not -- I'm saying that I
19
    think that your proffer is very clear and I, I don't think we
20
    need to spend any more time on it. Okay. Let's go off the
21
    record so the witness can come back.
22
23
              MR. GREENEBAUM: I think there's one more.
24
              JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm sorry.
                               There are two more items, essen-
25
              MR. GREENEBAUM:
```

1 | tially. One, the reporter asked me a question when I came in today, and I think it needs your attention. I think we ought 2 to do it at the end of the day, and that is there may be some confusion in her mind as she's marked Exhibit 40, which is the stipulation and the tabs, and I didn't want to tell her what 5 you had ruled in or out. And we ought to get that straight before we leave today by doing a pass on -- the --7 JUDGE SIPPEL: Sure. 9 MR. GREENEBAUM: -- probably. JUDGE SIPPEL: Is this over and above the No. 39 10 that we talked about this morning? 11 12 COURT REPORTER: My question is that No. 40 was the stipulation and that the stipulation contained the documents 13 14 as attachments. 15 JUDGE SIPPEL: That's correct. 16 COURT REPORTER: Okay. So --17 JUDGE SIPPEL: We'll, we'll straighten that out. 18 Thank you, Mr. Greenebaum. 19 MR. GREENEBAUM: And, and the last thing is there's 20 been a lot of testimony, a lot. There's been some kind of 21 references to the Application or the documents attached to the 22 Application in this case, and that's not an exhibit. 23 some counsel think it doesn't have to be; some think it should 24 And I guess I'm just raising that as something we ought 25 to discuss before we close.

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. You got you've already got
2	a significant portion of the Form 301 that you've shown to
3	this witness.
4	MR. GREENEBAUM: I think that's right.
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: Is that the document that you were
6	referring to?
7	MR. GREENEBAUM: Yes, Your Honor.
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: And if that, if that were received in
9	evidence at this time, would that, would that answer all the
10	questions that you might have?
11	MR. GREENEBAUM: I, I believe it would.
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Then let's mark it and
13	bring it in. This would be your next document, which is
14	Number
15	MR. GREENEBAUM: I'm going to get to a I haven't
16	been right on these numbers yet.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: The numbers game is not your game.
18	This is Scripps Howard No
19	MS. SCHMELTZER: 46.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: 46.
21	MS. SCHMELTZER: Or is it 36?
22	MR. GREENEBAUM: No, thir
23	MS. SCHMELTZER: 35 was a back throw.
24	MR. ZAUNER: It was a missed, missed number.
25	MR. GREENEBAUM: It was a back throw.

1	MS. SCHMELTZER: Now we're going to 46?
2	MR. ZAUNER: 46.
3	MR. GREENEBAUM: Ms. Schmeltzer, I'm going to take
4	and
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. It's identified.
6	(Whereupon, the document referred to
7	as Scripps Howard Exhibit No. 46 was
8	marked for identification.)
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: This is a document which is dated
10	September 3, 1991, that is the Form 301 Application of the
11	Four Jacks Broadcasting, Inc. It's, it's a copy. And it's
12	identified and it has been testified to by Mr. Robert Smith.
13	MR. GREENEBAUM: For the record, Your Honor, it does
14	
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: And yes?
16	MR. GREENEBAUM: doesn't include all the attach-
17	ments, such as the engineering things and things of that
18	nature.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: I recognize that. And it will be
20	I think the document will be self-evident in terms of how
21	complete it is.
22	MR. GREENEBAUM: I, I think it will too. I just
23	didn't want
24	JUDGE SIPPEL: I appreciate that. It's identified
25	and received in evidence at this time as Scripps Howard

1	No. 46.
2	(Whereupon, the document marked for
3	identification as Scripps Howard
4	Exhibit No. 46 was received into
5	evidence.)
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Now, can we bring Mr. Smith back in?
7	MR. GREENEBAUM: Yes, Your Honor.
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: Go off the record for a minute.
9	(Off the record.)
10	(Whereupon, the witness was recalled to the
11	courtroom.)
12	(On the record.)
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. We're back on the record. The
14	witness is has taken the stand again. You wanted to
15	comment with respect to which is what is now in as Scripps
16	Howard No. 46, Ms. Schmeltzer?
17	MS. SCHMELTZER: Right. With respect to the Appli-
18	cation. Of course, it has been amended a number of times over
19	the years and I just want that to be reflected in the record.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: Right. Okay. We, we accept that
21	clarification. Just, Mr. Smith, in your absence there was a
22	discussion and debate about the use of introduction of some
23	promissory notes and some questions of you with respect to
24	loans that the company made, and I have excluded that evi-
25	dence. So, we're going forward with the next category of

1	info	cmati	on.
2			BY MR. GREENEBAUM:
3		Q	Mr. Smith, as part of the group that oversees the
4	manaç	gemen	t of the subsidiaries of Sinclair Broadcasting Group,
5	Inc.,	, wha	t are your specific duties on a day-to-day basis as
6	Vice	Pres	ident and Treasurer of Sinclair?
7		A	Specific duties?
8		Q	Yes.
9		A	There are no specific duties.
10		Q	Would you agree that it's a group process rather
11	than	indi	viduals having specific assignments and
12	respo	nsib	ilities?
13		A	I would say generally that's correct.
14		Q	And you don't have a job description which delin-
15	eates	what	t you do on a day-to-day basis?
16		A	No, I don't.
17		Q	And when was it that you transitioned, as you put in
18	your	Depos	sition, to the executive committee with your brothers
19	follo	wing	the your year and a half as Program Manager at
20	WBFF	and i	into the current situation, as you describe it?
21		A	'88, somewhere in there.
22		Q	And what was your title at that time?
23		A	After I went to SBG or
24		Q	After.
25		A	I believe it's the same as it is now.

		the second distribution of the second distributi
1	Q .	And your duties and the way you've operated have
2	been essen	tially the same in the interim?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q.	And after you transitioned to the company executive
5	committee,	as you call it, that was the management team ap-
6	proach tha	t you and your brothers use, is that right?
7	A	Yes.
8		MS. SCHMELTZER: I'm going to
9		BY MR. GREENEBAUM:
10	Q	Could you give us a snapshot of your working day?
11	What time	do you start?
12	A	Varies. Sometimes 9:00, sometimes 10, sometimes
13	eight, som	etimes noon.
14	Q	Do you have regular working hours?
15	A	No.
16		MR. GREENEBAUM: Mr. Smith, let me address you to
17	page 50 of	your Deposition dated July 28, 1993. Page 50, line
18	21, Your H	onor.
19		BY MR. GREENEBAUM:
20	Q :	Mr. Smith, do you recall I asked you this question
21	on page 50	, line 21, of your Deposition of July 28, 1993:
22	"Would you	give us a snapshot of your working day? What time
23	do you sta	rt?"
24		Page 51, answer: "It would be a blurry picture
25	because it	's never the same."

1	Question: "Why don't you blur it for me as best you
2	can and then I'll try to clarify."
3	Answer: "The typical day is atypical. There are
4	times when I go in and will be seen there. There will be just
5	a myriad of things to talk about, things to go over, things to
6	plan for, things to discuss. Other times there won't be
7	anything at all for a day. It will just be business as
8	usual."
9	Question: "Do you have regular work hours?"
10	Answer: "Hmm."
11	Question: "What are they?"
12	Answer: "Nine to five."
13	Question: "Do your brothers who coordinate with you
14	on this management approach have regular work hours?"
15	Answer: "On the what approach?"
16	Question: "Coordinate with you on this management
17	team approach, have regular work hours as well?"
18	Answer: "Hmm."
19	Question: "What are they?"
20	Answer: "Same as mine."
21	Page 52, question: "So, everybody has nine to
22	five?"
23	Answer: "Or whatever it takes to get the job done.
24	If we have to stay late or come early, to leave later, or
25	whatever."

Do you recall being asked those questions and 1 answers at that time? 2 3 Α Yes. -- giving them? And were they true and accurate to 4 the best of your knowledge and ability then? 5 I would just say that nine to five in my mind was a Α 6 Sometimes it is nine to five. Sometimes it's, 7 generic term. like I said a minute ago, noon to eight, whatever. 8 Do you recall giving these answers at that time? 9 Q 10 Α Yes. And there's nothing in your mind that would make 11 them inaccurate today, is there? 12 Not that I can think of. 13 Α Thank you. Now, sir, have you done anything for 14 Q WTTO? 15 About the only thing I've done for TTO is sign off 16 on batch logs, purchase order requests. 17 What kind of purchase order requests --18 0 19 And the supervisor's manual. Α I'm sorry. What kind of purchase order requests 20 0 have you signed? 21 22 Α I couldn't tell you. 23 Could you look at page --Q 24 Α There's a whole, there's a whole lot of them that 25 come down.

1 | Q Could you look at page 22 of your Deposition of July

- 2 | 20, 1994?
- 3 A I'm sorry. What page?
- Q Page 22, line 17. "Have you done anything else for
- 5 WTTO?"
- Answer: "I haven't even been there."
- Question: "Even though you haven't been there, have
- 8 you done anything else for it or in connection with it in any
- 9 respect whatsoever?"
- 10 Answer: "Signed off on purchase order requests."
- 11 Question: "What kind of purchase order requests
- 12 have you signed?"
- Answer: "You name it: equipment, supplies. Nobody
- 14 spends any money unless they ask us."
- 15 A That's correct.
- MS. SCHMELTZER: Your Honor, that's what the witness
- 17 just testified. I don't understand why we're going through
- 18 all of this stuff that's just repeating what the witness just
- 19 testified.
- 20 JUDGE SIPPEL: Is, is there an objection?
- MS. SCHMELTZER: Yes. I don't understand where
- 22 | we're going with this.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, that's -- I'm, I'm going to
- 24 overrule the objection. Go ahead, Mr. Greenebaum.
- 25 MR. GREENEBAUM: Now, what did you mean by the term,

1	"Nobody spends any money unless they ask us."?
2	MS. SCHMELTZER: Where, where are you referring, Mr.
3	Greenebaum?
4	MR. GREENEBAUM: I'm referring to his answer, line 3
5	on page 23.
6	WITNESS: In general
7	MS. SCHMELTZER: Wait, wait. Objection. Your
8	Honor, here we're going to a station that we just acquired.
9	This is between this is testimony in July '94 as to a
10	station that was acquired in 1994. I don't think this has
11	anything to do with the issue that's before us today.
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, it I'm taking it in the
13	nature of cross-examination, that he's Mr. Greenebaum is
14	exploring, establishing seeking to establish for the record
15	through evidence exactly what the nature, with as much preci-
۱6	sion as he can, in these narrow areas what exactly how
L 7	these four individuals acted within the company and how
L8	and, and, and how they acted with respect to company
L9	operations.
20	MS. SCHMELTZER: This is 1994. That's not how
21	they acted with respect to
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, that goes to the weight.
23	MS. SCHMELTZER: WTTO in 1994 has nothing to do
24	with what led to the addition of the issue in this case.
25	JUDGE SIPPEL: That, that, that goes to the, to the

1	to, to the weight of the evidence. But it is cross-
2	examination and I'm going to permit it. I'm going to give him
3	the leeway to, to pursue this line. Go ahead, Mr. Greenebaum.
4	BY MR. GREENEBAUM:
5	Q What did you mean by the phrase, "Nobody spends any
6	money unless they ask us."?
7	A In terms of, in terms of purchase order requests,
8	every purchase order runs by us.
9	Q And that's from all your subsidiary stations, is it
10	not?
11	A That's correct.
12	Q So, you and your brothers maintain control over
13	whatever is spent at the subsidiaries by virtue at least of
14	purchase orders?
15	A That's correct.
16	Q What is the process? How do they come to you all
17	for review and approval if that's the case?
18	A For example, if a promotion manager wants to spend
19	\$10,000 to buy radio time to promote the TV station, he'll go
20	to the business office at that station. He'll fill out a
21	purchase order request. The business manager's assistant will
22	put it on a batch log for all the purchase orders of the
23	station for that day. That will be faxed to us and we will
24	look at it. It's a summary page. It summarizes all the
25	purchase orders for that day. We'll look at it, approve it or

1	disapprove	e it.
2	Q	How long has that process been in effect?
3	A	I remember why it was put into effect. I'm trying
4	to tie the	e date and the person and all the events together.
5	It's been	four years, five years.
6	Q	And who is at Sinclair that has the final approval
7	for these	purchase orders that are funnelled up on a daily
8	basis?	
9	A	The person that has the final approval is the second
10	person to	see it.
11	Q	Between you meaning you or your brothers?
12	A	Correct.
13	Q	Who pays your brother David Smith's salary?
14	A	Who pays his salary?
15	Q	Right.
16		MS. SCHMELTZER: Objection, Your Honor. He hasn't
17	establishe	ed that Mr. David Smith is paid a salary. There's no
18	foundation	n for the question.
19		JUDGE SIPPEL: Mr. Greenebaum, I thought we went
20	through th	nis yesterday.
21		MR. ZAUNER: Yes.
22		MS. SCHMELTZER: We did this for David Smith.
23	That's co	rrect.
24		MR. ZAUNER: I think that would be my objection,
25	Your Honor	r, that this has really been covered already yester-

1	day with	the with David Smith himself.
2		JUDGE SIPPEL: Yeah, but there may be another reason
3	why Mr. G	reenebaum wants to ask
4		MR. ZAUNER: Well, let's get to the
5		JUDGE SIPPEL: the question.
6		MR. ZAUNER: other reason. Let's avoid the
7	prelimina	ries again.
8		MR. GREENEBAUM: Your Honor, we'll
9		MR. ZAUNER: Again, I understand there might be some
10	hidden ne	cessity
11		MR. HOWARD: An objection one that says no
12	foundatio	n. You say let's get to the question.
13		JUDGE SIPPEL: Anyway, the objection is overruled,
14	so we can	go forward
15		BY MR. GREENEBAUM:
16	Q	Let me just stay with that question, then I'll go
17	back. Wh	o pays David Smith's salary?
18	A	How do you define salary?
19	Q	Does he get a set salary every two weeks the way you
20	do?	
21	A	As far as I know, yes, he does.
22	Q	Does that come from Sinclair?
23	A	Sinclair Broadcast Group.
24	Q	And Sinclair also pays David's salary?
25		MS. SCHMELTZER: That was

1	WITNESS: I think you just asked that.
2	MS. SCHMELTZER: Objection.
3	MR. GREENEBAUM: I'm sorry.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: Asked and answered.
5	MR. GREENEBAUM: Fred's salary.
6	WITNESS: Answer's the same.
7	MR. GREENEBAUM: Now, let me ask you to look at
8	Tab 2.
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: This is Tab 2 of Exhibit 40. Is that
10	correct, Mr. Greenebaum?
11	MR. GREENEBAUM: Yes, Your Honor. And I think
12	direct you to a specific page. Why don't you look at FJC0009,
13	which is a filing of the Maryland State Unemployment on behalf
14	of Sinclair Broadcast Group, which has been stipulated to and
15	admitted into evidence for the quarter ended December 31,
16	'91. Do you see that?
17	WITNESS: You call it the Maryland Unemployment? I
18	don't see where it says Maryland Unemployment on there any-
19	where.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: Is your page number is 0009?
21	BY MR. GREENEBAUM:
22	Q Why don't you look at FJC0005, if you would? Do you
23	see the, do you see the check there payable to Maryland
24	Unemployment the bottom of the page?
25	A Okay. You're referring to the check. Yes, I see

1 that. 2 And as you look on the preceding page, FJC0004, it's the cover sheet. If you look at the bottom left-hand corner in the block 11 where it says "net payment due"? "Make checks payable to Maryland Unemployment Insurance Fund." 5 Do you see that? 7 Down in the bottom left? 8 Yes. 9 Yes, I see that. Α 10 Okay. Now, if you look now at FJC0009, do you 11 recognize all of the people under the column "Name of 12 Employee" as having been with Sinclair at December 31, 1991? 13 Α Yes. 14 And you see your name there and your Social Security 0 15 number as well in that group? 16 Α Yes. 17 And your brothers also? 18 Α Yes. 19 And are all the people in the column under "Name of 20 Employee" paid a salary every two weeks by Sinclair Broadcast 21 Group, Inc.? 22 Α As far as I know, yes. 23 Now, does Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc., have any 24 employees -- or did it have any employees since 1991 -- I 25 guess -- let me rephrase it.

Does Sinclair Broadcast Group have any employees as of December 31, 1991, other than those listed on this form, page FJC0009?

- A That's three years ago. I, I've got to go by what the paper says.
- Q Take a look at FJC0005, which would be date quarter ended December 31, 1993. Were all the people there under the "Name of Employee" employees of Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc., as of that date?
- A Well, the distinction you could make is how we define ourselves versus these folks. And like I said before, this is the way the form is. That's why we're on this list.
- 13 But everyone else --
- Q My question is --
- 15 A -- there is an employee of Sinclair Broadcast Group,
 16 yes.
- 17 Q And in your direct testimony in connection with the 18 hearing that brings us here today, on paragraph 7 you referred 19 to "true employees" of the company. Do you recall that, sir?
- 20 A I want to see it first.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: You have -- you could have that in front of you.
- 23 WITNESS: I think I have. Page 7?
- 24 BY MR. GREENEBAUM:
- Q No. Paragraph 7 of page --

1	A	Paragraph.
2	Q	 3.
3	A	Exhibit 27
4	Q	
5	A	are you looking at? I'm sorry. Exhibit 27?
6	Q	Exhibit 27.
7	A	Paragraph 7, page 3.
8	Q	Yes.
9	A	Okay.
10	Q	Fourth line from the top includes the phrase "true
11	employees	".
12		MS. SCHMELTZER: From the top?
13		MR. GREENEBAUM: From the bottom. I'm sorry.
14		WITNESS: I'm not with you.
15		JUDGE SIPPEL: Find the sentence it's what is
16	it, the th	hird sentence of paragraph 7. So, it starts off with
17	the words	, "For ease of administration"
18		WITNESS: Yes.
19		JUDGE SIPPEL: Just read that sentence to yourself.
20	Okay. Rea	ad the sentence? See where it says "true employees"?
21		WITNESS: Yes.
22		JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Mr. Greenebaum?
23		MR. GREENEBAUM: What is your understanding of the
24	term "true	e employees" and where does it come from?
25		WITNESS: I think what we're

1	MR. ZAUNER: I was just going to say objection,
2	compound question
3	MR. GREENEBAUM: What is your understanding I
4	mean let me back I'm asking my
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: He's going to rephrase.
6	MR. GREENEBAUM: What is the basis for your defini-
7	tion of "true employees"?
8	WITNESS: I think what we're trying to say and help
9	Scripps Howard to distinguish is the difference between how we
10	view ourselves as Executive Officers and owners who behave in
11	a corporation in one manner and everyone else. I would say
12	that everyone else would be considered the "true employees" in
13	this sense and that they "punch a time clock." They have
14	managers that supervise them, et cetera. I think that's what
15	we're trying to say there.
16	MR. GREENEBAUM: Have you seen the phrase "true
17	employees" used in connection with any business record of
18	Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc., at any time under any circum-
19	stances other than in this document?
20	MS. SCHMELTZER: Objection.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm going to overrule the objection.
22	Answer the question.
23	WITNESS: I don't remember.
24	BY MR. GREENEBAUM:
25	Q Does what is David Aimy's (phonetic sp.) role?

1	A	He's the Comptroller of the company.
2	Q	Does he punch a time clock?
3	A	No.
4	Q	Any of these people punch a time clock?
5	A	No, I don't think so.
6		MR. ZAUNER: He you're asking whether they
7	physicall	y punch a time
8		MR. GREENEBAUM: Yes. Is there anyone that keeps
9	track of	their time on a day-to-day basis where they're logged
10	in and ou	t when you come and go?
11		WITNESS: Not that I know of.
12		(Pause.)
13		MR. GREENEBAUM: one moment.
14		JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Let's go off the record.
15		(Off the record. On the record.)
16		BY MR. GREENEBAUM:
17	Q	Has the amount of time that you spent at Sinclair
18	been the	same ever since you started working there or has it
19	changed f	rom time to time?
20	A	By definition it changes from time to time.
21	Q	Your definition is changed
22	A	By the way we operate it changes, like we said all
23	along	like I've said all along.
24	Q	I'm talking the amount of
25	A	Some days it's more than others.

```
-- time you physically spend there. Has that been
1
         0
 2
    the same?
 3
         Α
              Yes.
              Take a look at Exhibit 40, Tab 4, please?
 4
         Q
              Did you say 40?
         Α
 5
              Exhibit 40, that book, Tab 4.
 6
         Q
              It only goes up to 34.
 7
              Tab 4.
              You said 4, not 40.
 9
         Α
              JUDGE SIPPEL: Just so that you're -- the record is
10
    clear, the exhibit is Exhibit 40 and the --
11
12
              WITNESS:
                        Oh, okay.
              JUDGE SIPPEL: -- each of the little tabs --
13
14
              WITNESS:
                        I was looking for the tab.
15
              JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. I understand.
                        Thank you.
16
              WITNESS:
                                    Okay.
              MR. GREENEBAUM: Do you recognize these as W-4's you
17
    received from Sinclair -- W-2's -- for the years 1991, 1992,
18
19
    and 1993?
              MR. ZAUNER: Objection. That's already been stipu-
20
21
    lated to.
              JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I'm going to permit it on
22
23
    cross-examination.
                        That's what we're here for. Overruled.
24
              BY MR. GREENEBAUM:
```

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC. Court Reporting Depositions D.C. Area (301) 261-1902 Balt. & Annap. (410) 974-0947

25

Q

Do you, sir?

1	A What was the question?
2	Q Do you recognize these as W-2's you received from
3	Sinclair for the years 1991, 1992, and 1993?
4	A Like I said I think in my deposition, I don't, I
5	don't think I've ever looked at any of these things. So, I'm
6	going by what it says here.
7	Q Well, look at your Deposition. I apologize, page 39
8	
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: '74 or '73
10	MR. GREENEBAUM: '73
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: I mean '94.
12	MR. GREENEBAUM: '94, Your Honor. We'll let you go
13	to class with us as well.
14	(Laughter.)
15	MR. GREENEBAUM: You can sit up front.
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: What page are we, again?
17	BY MR. GREENEBAUM:
18	Q We're on page 39, line 10. I asked him the same
19	question: "Do you recognize those as your W-2 statements for
20	the years 1991, 1992, and 1993?" Answer: "Yes."
21	A Well, there it is.
22	Q Do you recognize them?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Okay. And did you use these for any purpose after
25	you received them from Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc.?

1	A I think what I'd do with them is I gave them to the
2	accountant my accountant to do my taxes.
3	Q What is your understanding of what a W-2 is intended
4	to reflect when it's given to someone by a corporate payor.
5	MS. SCHMELTZER: Objection. Your Honor, it calls
6	for a legal conclusion on the part of the witness.
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: This is a business he's an Officer
8	of the company. He should be able to answer something like
9	that. I'll, I'll I mean, I I he certainly could have
10	the question presented to him as a competent witness.
11	MS. SCHMELTZER: Well
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm, I'm going to overrule the
13	objection.
14	WITNESS: What was the question?
15	MR. GREENEBAUM: What is your understanding of the
16	purpose of the W-2 as given to someone from a corporate payor?
17	MS. SCHMELTZER: Objection to the form of the
18	question.
19	MR. GREENEBAUM: I'm trying not to call him an
20	employee
21	MS. SCHMELTZER: As given to someone? Who to
22	whom? What I don't know what we're talking about here.
23	MR. GREENEBAUM: Well, I ask him. What is your
24	understanding of the purpose of a W-2?
25	WITNESS: Looking at it you can tell that it it's